## State Variable Filters and Mason Rule

- A second order filter is also known as a Biquad.
- A second - order filter consists of a two integrator loop of one lossless and one lossy integrator
- Using ideal components all the biquad topologies have the same transfer function.
- Biquad with real components are topology dependent .
$>$ We will cover the following material:
- Biquad topologies
- Voltage scaling to equalize signal at all filter nodes.
- State variable Biquad derivation.
- Tow-Thomas Biquad Design Procedure
- Fully Balanced topologies using single ended Op Amps
- Non-ideal integrators and Biquads.
- Mason Rule to obtain transfer functions by inspection


## TWO-INTEGRATOR LOOP FAMILIES

Two-integrator loops consists of one lossless and one lossy integrator, furthermore one is positive and the other is negative.

Why do we consider different filter topologies to obtain the same (ideal) transfer function ?


Family 1a


Family 1b

$$
\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~s})=1+\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}}}{\mathrm{~s}}+\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \omega_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}
$$

$$
s^{2} D(s)=s^{2}+K_{Q^{2}} s+\omega_{\mathrm{O}_{1}} \omega_{\mathrm{O}_{2}} ; \quad S_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}}}^{\mathrm{BW}}=1 .
$$

All loops must be negative. $\mathrm{S}_{\omega_{\mathrm{O}_{1}}^{\omega_{0}^{2}}}^{2}=1$

Topology using two lossy integrators


- Lossy integrators are easy
 to implement


Two-Integrator Loop Family 2, ideal to avoid CMF in Fully Differential Structures

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~s})=1+\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}}{\mathrm{~s}}+\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~s}}+\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{s}^{2}+\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}\right) \mathrm{s}+\left(\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}\right) \\
& \omega_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}=\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}} \quad B W=\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{Q}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Low sensitivity structure:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}}^{\mathrm{BW}}=1 \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}}{\mathrm{BW}} ; \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}}^{\mathrm{BW}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}}} \\
\mathrm{~S}_{\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}}^{\omega_{0}^{2}}=\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{2}} \cdot \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}}{\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}+\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}}=\frac{1}{1+\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}}{\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Self-Loop two integrator loop
Design Equations:
Given $\omega_{0}$, BW, PICK
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}$ and $\omega_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}=\mathrm{BW}-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}
$$

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}=\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}+\left(\mathrm{BW}-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}\right) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}
$$

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}=\omega_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}-\mathrm{BW}\right) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}
$$



Family 3 Self-Loop

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~s})=1+\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}}{\mathrm{~s}} \\
\mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{s}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \mathrm{~s}+\omega_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

A self loop can be implemented by adding a resistor to one (lossless) integrator.

## SCALING for Active-RC, MOSFET-C

and SC implementations


An example.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}_{1} \rightarrow k} \rightarrow k \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{O}_{1}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Modify all the impedances connected } \\
\text { to the output under consideration. }
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{C}_{1}} \rightarrow k \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{C}_{1}} \Rightarrow \frac{k}{\mathrm{SC}_{1}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{SC}_{1} / k} \Rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{1} / k
\end{aligned} \mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow k \mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime} \Rightarrow k \mathrm{R}_{1}^{\prime} \Rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow k \mathrm{R}_{1}^{\prime} .
$$

Note that the voltages $\mathrm{V}_{02}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{03}$ were not modified

## VOLTAGE SWING SCALING

A good filter design approach requires to have a proper voltage swing at a frequency range for all internal and output nodes of the filter.

Let us consider the different types of filter implementations.


$$
s^{2} D(s)=s^{2}+s \frac{1}{R_{Q} C_{2}}+\frac{1}{R_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} R_{\mathrm{o}_{2}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\prime}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{in}}}=\frac{-\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{~s}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~s}}\right) \mathrm{s}^{2}}{\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~s})}=\frac{-\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{~s}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{C}_{2}}\right)}{\mathrm{s}^{2}+\mathrm{s} \frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{C}_{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{2}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{in}}}=-\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& \mathrm{H}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{3}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{in}}}=\frac{\frac{-1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{2}} \mathrm{C}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~S}^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{C}_{2}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{2}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider that we want

$$
\left|\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{j} \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right|=\mathrm{K}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|H_{3}\left(j \omega_{o}\right)\right|=\frac{\frac{1}{R_{K} C_{1} R_{o_{2}} C_{2}}}{\frac{\omega_{0}}{R_{Q} C_{2}}}=\frac{R_{Q}}{R_{K} C_{1} R_{o_{2}} \omega_{o}}=K=\frac{Q}{\omega_{0}^{2} R_{K} C_{1} R_{o_{2}} C_{2}} \\
K \left\lvert\,=\frac{Q}{\omega_{0} R_{K} C_{1}}\right. \\
\omega_{o}=\frac{1}{R_{o_{1}} C_{1}}=\frac{1}{R_{o} C_{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Thus $\quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{K}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}}}{\mathrm{KC}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{2}} \omega_{\mathrm{o}}} ; \quad \omega_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{2}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}\left(j \omega_{o}\right)=\frac{-\frac{1}{R_{K} C_{1}}\left(j \omega_{o}+\frac{1}{R_{Q} C_{2}}\right)}{j \frac{\omega_{0}}{R_{Q} C_{2}}}=\frac{-\frac{R_{Q} C_{2}}{R_{K} C_{1}}\left(j \omega_{o}+\frac{1}{R_{Q} C_{2}}\right)}{j \omega_{o}} \\
& \left|H_{1}\left(j \omega_{o}\right)\right|=\frac{R_{Q} C_{2}}{R_{K} C_{1} \omega_{o}} \sqrt{\omega_{0}^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{R_{Q} C_{2}}\right)^{2}}=\frac{R_{Q}}{R_{K} C_{1}} \sqrt{1+\frac{1}{Q^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

$$
\omega_{\mathrm{o}}=1 \quad \mathrm{Q}=\frac{3}{4} \quad \mathrm{~K}=2
$$

THEN

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{C}_{2}}=\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{Q}}=\frac{1}{3 / 4} ; \frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \mathrm{C}_{1}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{C}_{2}}=\omega_{\mathrm{o}}=1 \\
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{K}}=\frac{5}{2}=2.5 \quad \mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2}=1 \\
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}=1 \\
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Q}}=5
\end{gathered}
$$

TO VERIFY

$$
\left|H_{3}\left(j \omega_{o}\right)\right|=\frac{2}{1 / 5}=10
$$

We want to make

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{j} \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right|=\left|\mathrm{H}_{3}\left(\mathrm{j} \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right| \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{5} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{SC}_{1}} \& \mathrm{R}_{1}^{1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mathrm{SC}_{1} 5} \& \frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{5} \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{C}_{1} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{C}_{1} \\
\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\prime}}{5}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Before scaling
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(-\frac{1}{\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{C}_{1}}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{3}}}{\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \mathrm{C}_{1}} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}=-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}$
After
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(-\frac{1}{\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{C}_{1}}\right) \frac{1}{5}-\left(\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{3}}}{\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}} \mathrm{C}_{1}}\right) \frac{1}{5} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{2}}=-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{o}_{1}}$.
Thus we have modified $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$ without changing $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}_{3}}$

This usually can be done when enough degrees of freedom exist.

## STATE-VARIABLE FILTER ARCHITECTURES

- Derived from state-variable techniques to solve differential equations. The objective is to render an expression that can be implemented using integrator building blocks
- Example

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(s)=\frac{V_{o}(s)}{V_{i}(s)}=\frac{-K s}{s^{2}+\frac{\omega_{o}}{Q} s+\omega_{0}^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\omega_{0}$ is the cut-off frequency, $\left(\omega_{0} / \mathrm{Q}\right)$ is the bandwidth, Q is the quality (selectivity) factor.

Let us rewrite the above equation by multiplying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{o}(s)}{V_{i}(s)}=\frac{-\frac{K}{s} X(s)}{\left[1+\frac{\omega_{o}}{Q} \frac{1}{s}+\frac{\omega_{o}^{2}}{s}\right] X(s)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both numerator and denominator by $X(s) / s^{2}$. Thus (2) can be split into

$$
\begin{align*}
& X(s)=V_{i}(s)-\frac{\omega_{o}}{Q} \frac{X(s)}{s}-\omega_{o}^{2} \frac{X(s)}{s^{2}}  \tag{3a}\\
& V_{o}(s)=-K \frac{X(s)}{s} \tag{3b}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that $1 / \mathrm{s}$ is an integral operator. Thus we implement (3) by using integral building block.

Remember that each integrator has its time-constant. We can associate more than one time-constant with each integrator.


Also observe that $\mathrm{V}_{02}$ correspond to a low-pass, $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ to a bandpass and $X(s)$ to a high pass. $K_{1}$ could be 1 or any other suitable value

This two-integrator biquad consists of

- One lossless integrator ( $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ )
- One Lossy integrator $\left(I_{1}\right)$
- Two negative closed loops: One determines the center frequency, the other the bandwidth (or Q).
- One of two integrators must be positive, the other negative.
-The lossy integrator must have a negative feedback.


## Second-Order Filter Structures

## 



Zero implementation by addition of outputs technique


$H(s)=\frac{V_{o}}{V_{1}}=K \frac{s^{2}+\left(\omega_{z} / Q_{z}\right) s+\omega_{z} \omega_{0}}{s^{2}+\left(\omega_{o} / Q_{o}\right) s+\omega_{o}^{2}} \quad$ Why $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{s})$ is not correct?

Tow-Thomas Biquad


$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2}(s)=\frac{V_{O_{R}}(s)}{V_{1}(s)}=\frac{-K_{L P} K K_{02} / s^{2}+\left(K_{02} K_{B P P} / s-K_{B P N} / s\right)\left(1+K_{Q} / s\right)}{1+\frac{K_{Q}}{s}+\frac{K_{01} K_{02}}{s^{2}}} \\
& H_{2}(s)=\frac{\left(s+K_{Q}\right)\left(K_{02} K_{B P P}-K_{\text {BPN }}\right)-K_{\text {LP }} K_{02}}{s^{2}+K_{Q} s+K K_{01} K_{02}} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ol} 1}(\mathrm{~s})}{\mathrm{V}_{1}(\mathrm{~s})}=\frac{\mathrm{s}^{2}+\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}}-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{LP}} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{BPP}}\right) \mathrm{s}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{BPN}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{ol}} \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{BPP}}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{KK}_{\mathrm{ol}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{o} 2}}\left(-\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{BPP}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Observe that the regular TT Biquad does not implement a highpass output

## Description of the Parameters for the Tow-Thomas Filter

General Transfer Function

$$
T(s)=-\frac{R_{8}}{R_{6}} \frac{s^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{R_{1} C_{9}}-\frac{1}{R_{4} C_{9}} \frac{R_{6}}{R_{7}}\right)+\frac{R_{6}}{R_{7}} \frac{1}{R_{3} R_{5} C_{9} C_{10}}}{s^{2}+s\left(\frac{1}{R_{1} C_{9}}\right)+\frac{R_{8}}{R_{7}} \frac{1}{R_{3} R_{2} C_{9} C_{10}}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{8}}{\mathrm{R}_{7} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{C}_{10}}, \quad \omega_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{6}}{\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{R}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{C}_{10}} \\
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{R}_{1} \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{8} \mathrm{C}_{9}}{\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{R}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{10}}}, \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{z}}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{6} \mathrm{C}_{9}}{\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{R}_{5} \mathrm{R}_{7} \mathrm{C}_{10}}} /\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}-\frac{\mathrm{R}_{6}}{\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{7}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|H_{H P}\right|=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{8}}{\mathrm{R}_{6}}, \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{7}}{\mathrm{R}_{6}}, \mathrm{R}_{5} \rightarrow \infty \\
& \left|\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{BP}}\right|=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{8}}{\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{7}}, \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{R}_{5}, \mathrm{R}_{6} \rightarrow \infty \\
& \left|\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{LP}}\right|=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{5}}, \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{R}_{4}, \mathrm{R}_{6} \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

For the bandstop (notch)

$$
\left|\mathrm{H}_{\text {notch }}\right|=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{8}}{\mathrm{R}_{6}}, \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{R}_{7}}{\mathrm{R}_{6}}, \mathrm{R}_{5}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{6} \mathrm{R}_{2}}{\mathrm{R}_{8}}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{R}^{2} \\
\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{3} \\
\mathrm{R}_{7}=\mathrm{R}_{8}=\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \\
\mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{C}
\end{gathered} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \omega=\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{6}}{\mathrm{R}_{5} \mathrm{RR}}} \\
& \omega_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{aRC}}, \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{\mathrm{aR}}, \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{R}_{6}}{\mathrm{R}_{5} \mathrm{RR}^{\prime}} /\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}-\frac{\mathrm{R}_{6}}{\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{R}^{\prime}}\right)} \\
& \left|\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{HP}}\right|=\frac{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{R}_{6}}, \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{R}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{R}_{6}}=\mathrm{R}_{4}\left|\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{HP}}\right|, \quad \mathrm{R}_{5} \rightarrow \infty \\
& \left|\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{HP}}\right|=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{\mathrm{R}_{4}}, \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{R}_{5}, \mathrm{R}_{6} \rightarrow \infty \\
& \left|\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{LP}}\right|=\frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}_{5}}, \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{R}_{4}, \mathrm{R}_{6} \rightarrow \infty \\
& \left|\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{notch}}\right|=\frac{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{R}_{6}}, \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{4} \mathrm{R}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{R}_{6}}, \quad \mathrm{R}_{5}=\frac{\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{RR}_{6}}{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$





KHN Fully-Differential Version


Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{4}=R_{5}=b^{2} R_{6}=R \\
R_{1}=R_{2}=R^{\prime} \\
C_{7}=C_{8}=C \\
\omega_{p}=\frac{1}{b R^{\prime} C} \\
Q_{p} \frac{1+R / R_{3}+R / R_{9}}{\left(1+1 / b^{2}\right) b} \\
\left|H_{H P}\right|=\frac{1+1 / b^{2}}{1+R_{3} / R+R_{3} / R_{9}} \\
\left|H_{B P}\right|=\frac{R}{R_{3}} \\
\left|H_{L P}\right|=\frac{R}{R_{5}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## KHN Biquad Design Procedure

A simple design procedure is described as follows:

1. Assume that $w_{p}, Q_{p}$, and $H=K$ are the design specifications.
2. Select convenient values* or $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}$, and R to determine the values of $R_{1}, R_{2}, C_{7}, C_{8}, R_{4}$, and $R_{6}$.
3. Calculate the following element values:

$$
b=\frac{1}{R^{\prime} C \omega_{p}}
$$

For the HP case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{3} & =\frac{R}{b Q_{p} H_{H P}} \\
R_{9} & =\frac{R}{b Q_{p}\left[1+\left(1 / b^{2}\right)-H_{H P}\right]-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

* A rule of thumb for choosing $R^{\prime}$ and $R$ is to make them proportional to $10 f_{p}$, when $Q_{p}>10$, or else make $R^{\prime}$ and $R$ proportional to $f_{p}$. Then $C$ should be made proportional to $1 / R^{\prime} \omega_{p}$.

For the BP case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{3}=\frac{R}{H_{B P}} \\
& R_{9}=\frac{R}{b Q_{p}\left[1+\left(1 / b^{2}\right)-1-H_{B P}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the LP case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{3}=\frac{b R}{Q_{p} H_{L P}} \\
& R_{9}=\frac{b R}{\left(1+b^{2}\right) Q_{p}-b-Q_{p} H_{L P}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example Design a bandpass modified KHN filter having a gain of $H_{B P}=3, Q_{P}=20$, and $\omega_{o}=2 \pi \times 10^{3} \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{s}$.

Procedure

1. Let us choose $R=10 k \Omega, C=0 / 01 \mu F$, and $R^{\prime}=11.254 k \Omega$; that is $R_{1}=R_{2}=11.254 \mathrm{k} \Omega$ and $R_{4}=R_{5}=10 \mathrm{k} \Omega$.
2. Since the values of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are $11.254 k \Omega$, then

$$
b=\frac{1}{R^{\prime} C \omega_{p}}=1.414207
$$

3. The expression for

$$
R_{6}=\frac{R}{b^{2}} ; \text { then } \quad R_{6}=5 k \Omega
$$

4. For this case

$$
R_{3}=\frac{R}{H_{B P}}=3.33 \mathrm{k} \Omega
$$

and

$$
R_{9}=\frac{R}{b Q_{p}\left[1+\left(1 / b^{2}\right)\right]-1-H_{B P}}=260 \Omega
$$

Exercise.- Propose component value condition to make this structure Fully Balance Fully Symmetric Structure

```
KERWIN-HUELSMAN-NEWCOMB Biquad Circuit with f0=1KHz, Q=20, Peak
value gain =3
** Description of the passive components
r1 4 3 11.254K
r2 11 5 11.254K
r3 1 12 3.3K
* varying r4 values and parameters with the .step statement
.param R=1
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
r4 & 1 & 11 & \(\{R\}\)
\end{tabular}
r5 2 6 10K
r6 2 3 5K
r9 1 0 260
c7 4 11 0.01U
c8 5 6 0.01U
* Description of Op Amps
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
E1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 & \(2 D 5\) \\
E2 & 11 & 0 & 0 & 4 & \(2 D 5\) \\
E3 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 2D5
\end{tabular}
*
VIN 12 0 AC 1
*
.AC LIN 100 500
2K
.step DEC param R 300 30K 10
.PLOT AC VDB(11) VP(11)
.PROBE
.END
```




## Second-Order Filter Characteristics and Mason Rule to obtain Transfer Functions

- Second-Order Transfer function characteristics.
- How can you use this information for better design and tuning
- Time domain measurements and characterization
- Mason Rule is a good tool, borrow from control theory, to easily obtain transfer functions from a signal flow graph

Analog and Mixed-Signal Center.
Texas A\&M University
Edgar Sánchez-Sinencio

## PROPERTIES of Second-Order Systems and Mason's Rule to determine transfer functions by inspection

- Mathematical definitions and properties of Second-Order Systems.
- Building block second-order system architectures and properties.
- Mason's Rule to obtain easily transfer functions and to facilitate the generation of new architectures.


## Second-Order Filter Types

Second-order blocks are important building blocks since with a combination of them allows the implementation of higher-order filters. The general order transfer function in the s-plane has the form:

$$
H(s)=\frac{K_{1} s^{2}+K_{2} s+K_{3}}{s^{2}+\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}} s}{Q}+\omega_{p}^{2}}
$$

Particular conventional cases are:

| Lowpass | i.e., | $\mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{2}=0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bandpass | i.e., | $\mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{3}=0$ |
| Highpass | i.e., | $\mathrm{K}_{2}=\mathrm{K}_{3}=0$ |
| (Notch) Band-Elimination | i.e., | $\mathrm{K}_{2}=0$ |
| Allpass | i.e., | $\mathrm{K}_{1}=1, \quad \mathrm{~K}_{2}=-\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{Q}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3}=\omega_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}$ |

One interesting case used for amplitude equalization is the "equalizer" sometimes referred to as Bump (DIP) Equalizer. In this case, $\mathrm{K}_{1}=1 \quad \mathrm{~K}_{3}=\omega_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2}= \pm \mathrm{k} \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{Q}}$.

Specific structures have different properties. Some structures have enough degrees of freedom to allow them to change independently $\omega_{0}, \mathrm{Q}($ or BW$)$ and a particular gain $\left|H\left(\omega_{p}\right)\right|$ where $\omega_{\mathrm{p}}$ is a particular frequency, i.e., $\omega_{\mathrm{p}}=0, \omega_{0}, \infty$ for the LP, BP and HP cases. Furthermore, some structures have the property to have constant Q or BW while varying $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{o}}$. We will illustrate later, by examples, some of the structures with such properties.

## Properties of Second-Order Systems in the time domain

$$
s^{2}+\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{~s}+\omega_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}=(\mathrm{s}+\alpha)^{2}+\beta^{2}=\mathrm{s}^{2}+2 \alpha \mathrm{~s}+\omega_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\frac{\omega_{0}}{2 \mathrm{Q}}, \quad \beta=\omega_{\mathrm{o}} \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{Q}^{2}}}
$$


$1 \quad$ Sinusoidal steady-state response



$$
M(\omega)=\left[\frac{N(s)}{s^{2}+s \frac{\omega_{0}}{Q}+\omega_{o}^{2}}\right]_{s=j \omega}=\frac{|N(j \omega)|}{\sqrt{\left(\omega_{o}^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\omega_{o}}{Q} \omega\right)^{2}}}
$$

Only two measurements are necessary to fix the position of the complex poles. The measurement of the frequency of peaking determines the magnitude of the poleas, and the measurement of the $3-\mathrm{dB}$ bandwidth determine $\omega_{0} / \mathrm{Q}$.

## Second-Order Low-Pass Networks

$$
T(s)=\frac{H}{s^{2}+s \frac{\omega_{0}}{Q}+\omega_{o}^{2}}
$$

Since $H$ is merely a magnitude scale factor, let $H=\omega_{0}^{2}$.

$$
T(s)=\frac{\omega_{0}^{2}}{s^{2}+s \frac{\omega_{0}}{Q}+\omega_{0}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{\mathrm{~s}}{\omega_{\mathrm{o}}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\mathrm{s}}{\omega_{\mathrm{o}}}\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}}+1}
$$

1. For $\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{o}}<1,|\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{j} \omega)| \cong 1$. Therefore, low frequencies are passed.
2. For $\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{o}} \gg 1,|\mathrm{~T}(\mathrm{j} \omega)| \cong\left(\omega_{\mathrm{o}} / \omega\right)^{2}$. Therefore, high frequencies are attenuated.
3. For $\mathrm{Q}>1 / \sqrt{2}$, the magnitude peaks at $\frac{\omega}{\omega_{\mathrm{o}}}=\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{Q}^{2}}}$

The peak occurs at a frequency lower than $\omega_{0}$. For $Q>5$, the frequency of peaking practically equals $\omega_{0}$ (within $1 \%$ ).
4. For $Q>5$, the $3-d B$ bandwidth is practically equal to $\omega_{0} / Q \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$.
5. At $\omega / \omega_{\mathrm{o}}=1,\left|\mathrm{~T}\left(\mathrm{j} \omega_{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right|=\mathrm{Q}$ and the phase is $-\pi / 2$.
6. At $\omega / \omega_{0} \gg 1$, the phase is $-\pi$.
7. For $Q>5$, the phase undergoes a rapid shift of $\pi$ radians about $\omega_{0}$.


Magnitude and phase of

$$
\frac{\omega_{0}^{2}}{s^{2}+s \frac{\omega_{0}}{Q}+\omega_{o}^{2}}
$$

## The Second-Order Band-Pass Function

The second-order band-pass function has one zero at the origin and another at infinity:

$$
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\mathrm{Hs}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}+\mathrm{s} \frac{\omega_{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{Q}}+\omega_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}}
$$

To normalize the peak value of the magnitude function to unity, let $H=\left(\omega_{0} / Q\right)$ :

$$
T(s)=\frac{\frac{\omega_{o}}{Q} s}{s^{2}+s \frac{\omega_{o}}{Q}+\omega_{o}^{2}}=\frac{\frac{1}{Q} \frac{s}{\omega_{o}}}{\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{o}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{s}{\omega_{\mathrm{o}}}\right) \frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}}+1}
$$





In practical implementations besides the general specifications $\left(\omega_{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{Q},\left|\mathrm{H}\left(\omega_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right|\right.$ ) other particular specifications are imposed which are application dependent. Among them are silicon area, dynamic range, power supply rejection ratio, power consumption, tolerance, accuracy, and sensitivity. This last parameter is often used as a
figure of merit. i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\partial \mathrm{p}}{\partial \mathrm{x}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{p}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above definition is usually referred as normalized sensitivity
due to the $(x / p)$ factor. $x$ and $p$ are the variable of the network
(i.e. $R, C, \quad$ ) and the variable under consideration $g_{m}$
(i.e., $\omega_{0}, \mathrm{Q},\left|H\left(\omega_{\mathrm{p}}\right)\right|$ )

## 'The General Input-Output Gain Mason Formula

We can reduce complicated block diagrams to canonical form, from which the control ratio is easily written:

$$
\frac{V_{\text {out }}}{V_{\text {in }}}=\frac{G}{1 \pm G H}
$$

It is possible to simplify signal flow graphs in a manner similar to that of block diagram reduction. But it is also possible, and much less time-consuming, to write down the input-output relationship by inspection from the original signal flow graph. This can be accomplished using the formula presented below. This formula can also be applied directly to block diagrams, but the signal flow graph representation is easier to read - especially when the block diagram is very complicated.

## Signal Flow Graphs

Let us denote the ratio of the input variable to the output variable by $T$. For linear feedback control systems, $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }} / V_{\text {in }}$. For the general signal flow graph presented in preceding paragraphs $\mathrm{V}_{\text {out }}$ is the output and $\mathrm{V}_{\text {in }}$ is the input.

The general formula for and signal flow graph is

$$
\mathrm{T}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \Delta_{\mathrm{i}}}{\Delta}
$$

where $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ the $i$ th forward path gain
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{jk}}=j$ th possible product of $k$ non-touching loop gains
$\Delta=1-(-1)^{\mathrm{k}+1} \sum \sum \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{jk}}$
$=1-\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j} 1}+\sum_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j} 2}-\sum_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j} 3}+\cdots$
= 1 - (sum of all loop gains) + (sum of all gain-products of 2 non-touching loops) - (sum of all gainproducts of 3 non-touching loops + ..
$\Delta_{\mathrm{i}}=\Delta$ evaluated with all loops touching $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{liminated}$.
Two loops, paths, or a loop and a path are said to be non-touching if they have no nodes in common.
$\Delta$ is called the signal flow graph determinant or characteristic function, since $\quad \Delta$ is ${ }^{\text {O }}$ he system characteristic equation.

## Examples

Let us determine the control ratio Vout/Vin and the canonical block diagram of the feedback control system shown below:


The signal flow graph is


There are two forward paths: $\quad P_{1}=G_{1} G_{2} G_{4}, \quad P_{2}=G_{1} G_{3} G_{4}$

There are three feedback loops:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{11}=\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{1}, \quad \mathrm{P}_{21}=-\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \quad \mathrm{P}_{31}=-\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}
$$

There are no non-touching loops, and all loops touch both forward paths; then

$$
\Delta_{1}=1, \quad \Delta_{2}=1
$$

Therefore the control ratio is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{T}=\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \Delta_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2} \Delta_{2}}{\Delta}=\frac{\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{4}+\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{4}}{1-\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}} \\
=\frac{\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{4}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{G}_{3}\right)}{1-\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{G}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

From Equations (8.3) and (8.4), we have

$$
\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{4}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{G}_{3}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{GH}=\mathrm{G}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{4}\left(\mathrm{G}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathrm{H}=\frac{\mathrm{GH}}{\mathrm{G}}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{G}_{3}\right) \mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{1}}{\mathrm{G}_{2}+\mathrm{G}_{3}}
$$

The canonical block diagram is there fore given by


The negative summing point sign for the feedback loop is a result of using a positive sign in the GH formula above.

## Example

Draw a signal flow graph for the following resistance network in which $v_{2}(0)=v_{3}(0)=0$. $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ is the voltage across $\mathrm{C}_{1}$.


The five variables are $\mathrm{v}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{2}, \mathrm{v}_{3}, \mathrm{i}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{i}_{2} ;$ and $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ is the input. The four independent equations derived from Kirchoff's voltage and current laws are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{i}_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}\right) \mathrm{v}_{1}-\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{1}}\right) \mathrm{v}_{2}, \quad \mathrm{v}_{2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}_{1}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{i}_{1} \mathrm{dt}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}_{1}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{i}_{2} \mathrm{dt}, \\
\mathrm{i}_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{2}}\right) \mathrm{v}_{2}-\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{R}_{2}}\right) \mathrm{v}_{3}, \quad \mathrm{v}_{3}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{i}_{2} \mathrm{dt}
\end{gathered}
$$

The signal flow graph can be drawn directly from these equations:


In Laplace transform notation, the signal flow graph is given by


## ECEN 622 (ESS)

Example of use of Mason Rule in a $3^{\text {rd }}$ Orders State-Variable (observable) Filter


What is wrong with this application of Mason's Rule?


$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2}(s)=\frac{\frac{b_{0} B_{1} B_{2}}{s^{3}}+\frac{b_{1} B_{1} B_{2}}{s^{2}}+\frac{b_{2} B_{2}}{s}\left(1+\frac{a_{2}}{s}\right)+b_{3}\left(1+\frac{a_{2}}{s}+\frac{B_{1} a_{1}}{s^{2}}\right)}{1+\frac{a_{2}}{s}+\frac{a_{1} B_{1}}{s^{2}}+\frac{B_{1} B_{2} a_{0}}{s^{3}}} \\
& H_{2}=\frac{b_{3} s^{3}+\left(b_{32} B_{2}+b_{3} a_{2}+b_{1} B_{1} B_{2}\right) s^{2}+\left(b_{2} B_{2} a_{2}+b_{3} B_{1} a_{1}\right) s+B_{1} B_{2} b_{0}}{s^{3}+a_{2} s^{2}+a_{1} B_{1} s+B_{1} B_{2} a_{o}}
\end{aligned}
$$

A possible implementation of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ using Active-RC follows


