An accurate Quality Factor Tuning Scheme for IF and High-Q Continuous-Time Filter

- This is an improvement of the magnitude-locked-loop Q tuning scheme.
- It uses a modified version of the continuous-time adaptive least-mean square algorithm.
- Measured Q accuracy is less than 1% error

ECEN 622 (ESS) Analog and Mixed-Signal Center-TAMU

Previous Q-tuning techniques using Master-Slave



Fig. 2. Block diagram of impulse response Q-tuning method.



Fig. 3. Block diagram of adaptive LMS algorithm.

The equation for

the adaptive LMS algorithm is

$$\dot{w}_i(t) = \mu[d(t) - y(t)]g_i(t)$$
 (3)

where $w_i(t) = \text{tuning signals}$, $\mu = \text{integration constant}$, d(t) = desired response, y(t) = actual response, and $g_i(t) = \text{gradient signal (direction of tuning)}$. Ideally, $g_i(t)$ is the partial derivative of y(t) with respect to $w_i(t)$. A block diagram of the generalized LMS scheme is shown in Fig. 3.

$$\dot{V}_Q(t) = \mu (V_{\rm in} - V_{\rm bp}) V_{\rm bp} \tag{4}$$

The input reference can be any periodic signal, not necessarily a sinusoidal. This input signal should contain the wo frequency component. It is a combination of the MLL and the LMS techniques. Note that no peak detectors are involved. Only wo tuning is needed. g(t) is simply the bandpass output



Fig. 4. Block diagram of proposed adaptive Q-tuning technique.

$$V_{\rm bp} = \hat{V}_{\rm bp} \,\sin(\omega_o t) = \frac{Q_a(V_Q)}{Q_d} \,\hat{V}_{\rm in} \,\sin(\omega_o t) \tag{5}$$

or

$$\hat{V}_{\rm bp} = \frac{Q_a(V_Q)}{Q_d} \, \hat{V}_{\rm in} \bigg|_{\omega = \omega_o} \tag{6}$$

where

 $\begin{array}{ll} Q_a(V_Q) & \text{actual } Q \text{ of filter (function of } V_Q); \\ Q_d & \text{desired } Q \text{ of filter.} \end{array}$

Notice in the above equation that the Q will be tuned, i.e., $Q_a(V_Q) = Q_d$, when \hat{V}_{bp} is equal to \hat{V}_{in} . Therefore, the LMS algorithm will work by trying to match the filter output (V_{bp}) to the desired signal (V_{in}) and making the overall gain equal to one. If wo has an error, then this is reflected as a phase shift error

$$\hat{V}_{bp} = |H_{bp}(s)|\hat{V}_{in} = \frac{Q_a}{Q_d}\hat{V}_{in}\cos[\phi(\omega)]$$
(7)

$$\dot{V}_Q(t) = \mu [V_{\rm in}(t) - V_{\rm bp}(t)] V_{\rm bp}(t)$$
 (8)

where

$$V_{\rm bp}(t) = \hat{V}_{\rm bp} \sin(\omega t + \phi)$$
$$V_{\rm in}(t) = \hat{V}_{\rm in} \sin(\omega t)$$

Note that when tuning is complete, $\dot{V}_Q = 0$ and V_Q is a constant

$$\dot{V}_{Q}(t) = \mu \left[\frac{\hat{V}_{in} \hat{V}_{bp} \cos \phi}{2} - \frac{\hat{V}_{bp}^{2}}{2} \right] = 0$$
(9)
$$\hat{V}_{bp} = \hat{V}_{in} \cos \phi.$$
(10)

Ideally, the Q is tuned correctly even in the presence of frequency tuning errors.

Another large advantage is the ability to use highly distorted input reference signals such as clock pulses. For implementation purposes, all that would be needed is a limiter to bring the clock signal amplitude down into the dynamic range of the tuning circuitry. It can also be shown mathematically that a square wave reference will be sufficient for Q-tuning.



Fig. 5. Block diagram of overall filter.



$$H(s) \approx \frac{\frac{gm_{\omega}}{C} \left(s + \frac{g_{ds}}{C}\right)}{s^2 + \frac{5g_{ds} + gm_Q - gm_{Qp}}{C} s + \frac{gm_{\omega}^2}{C^2}}.$$
 (15)

The center frequency is, therefore

$$\omega_o \approx \frac{gm_\omega}{C} \tag{16}$$

and the quality factor is

$$Q \approx \frac{gm_{\omega}}{5g_{ds} + gm_Q - gm_{Qp}}.$$
 (17)

Fig. 6. Fully differential OTA-C two-integrator loop biquad with parameter positive feedback.





Fig. 11. Experimental frequency response of the 10.7-MHz filter.



Fig. 12. Noise floor of the 10.7-MHz filter.



Fig. 13. Chip micrograph of the 10.7-MHz filter.

TABLE I						
EXPERIMENTAL	RESULTS	OF 1	THE	10.7-MHz	FILTER	

10.7MHz	
10.64MHz	
0.56%	
20	
19.85	
0.75%	
108mW	
$\pm 1.5V$	
$3.24mm^2$	
47dB	
$\approx 40 dB$	

VI. CONCLUSIONS

An accurate method for the tuning of quality factors in high-Q and high-frequency filters has been proposed. The



Fig. 3. Improved implementation of the modified-LMS Q-tuning scheme.



Fig. 14. $1/Q_D$ circuit as an attenuator.



Fig. 4. Proposed unified f_0 - and Q-tuning scheme.

Comparator



Fig. 13. Schematic of the comparator of Fig. 4.

Summary of Results

TABLE I SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS FOR THE FILTER AND THE TUNING SCHEME

Parameter	Value	Unit
Power supply	3.3	V
Power consumption	92.4	mW
Chip area	0.81	mm^2
Frequency tuning range	85 – 110	MHz
Q tuning range	5 - 40	-
CMRR @ 100MHz	40	dB
PSRR @ 100MHz	40	dB
Signal @ IM3=40dB	-11	dBm
Noise floor	-50	dBm
SNR	39	dB
Q- tuning error	< 1	%

HOW TO DETERMINE SLEW-RATE AND GB LIMITATIONS



References

J.M. Stevenson, and E. Sánchez-Sinencio, "An Accurate Quality Factor Tuning Scheme for IF and High-Q Continuous-Time Filters," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, Vol.* 33, pp. 1970-1978, December 1998.

P. Kallam, E. Sánchez-Sinencio, and A. I. Karsilayan, "<u>An Enhanced</u> <u>Adaptive Q-Tuning Scheme for a 100 MHz Fully-Symmetric OTA-Based</u> <u>Bandpass Filter</u>," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 38, No. 4, pp. 585-593, April 2003.

A. Veeravalli, E. Sánchez-Sinencio, and J. Silva-Martínez, "<u>A CMOS Transconductance</u> <u>Amplifier Architecture with Wide Tuning Range for Very Low Frequency Applications</u>," *IEEE J. Solid-StateCircuits*, Vol. 37, No. 6, pp. 776-781, June 2002

CMOS transconductance multipliers: a tutorial Gunhee Han; Sanchez-Sinencio, E. Circuits and Systems II: Analog and Digital Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on Year: 1998, Volume: 45, Issue: 12 Pages: 1550 - 1563,