

Why do we need to use Common-Mode Feedback Circuits?

• In the past, circuits have mainly one input and one output and both referred to ground.

• Low voltage power supply make single ended circuits very difficult to perform optimally. An alternative to single-ended circuits is to use fully differential circuits.

- To double the output swing a fully differential circuit are used.
- The output terminals of fully differential circuits are equal and opposite polarity.
- Additional properties of fully differential circuits are: improved output swing, linearity and common-mode rejection ratio.
- How are the differential outputs referred to ?
- How are the common-mode signals eliminated in a Fully differential circuit ?

- A CMFB circuit, in a fully differential circuit, is generally needed for two reasons:
- (1) To control the common mode voltage at different nodes that cannot be stabilized by the negative differential feedback. This is usually chosen as a reference voltage yielding maximum differential voltage gain and/or maximum output voltage swing
- (2) To suppress the common mode components, that tends to saturate different stages, through applying common mode negative feedback.

Background and motivation .



Can we determine the DC operating points for V_{o1} and V_{o2} ?

Let us first consider the case of one transistor and one resistor.





- Small variations due to process (or to the input) could force the operations in III to move to regions II or IV.
- V_{02} is difficult to fix and the regions of operation of M1 and M2 are very sensitive to process variations and input variations.

What is the effect of mismatch between the p-type current source and the n-type current source?



 V_{o} due to the transistor mismatches, in I_{D1} and I_{D2} , is given by

 $V_o = (I_p - I_n) r_o = \Delta IR_o$

For instance for $\Delta I=15\mu A$ and $r_o = 266 \text{ k}\Omega$, this results in $V_o = 4V$. Since this error can not be produced, M2 is forced into triode region.

We will study techniques to force ΔI close to zero.

Examples of voltage amplifiers types

Single-Input Single-Output Amplifiers



Pseudo Differential Amplifiers







Fully-Differential







How is the common source amplifier related to common-mode feedback?

- Fully differential (FD) circuits need common-mode feedback to operate properly and to fix the DC of the output nodes.
- FD amplifiers consist of common source circuits embedded in differential pairs. Thus, the properties of the single-input common source circuits are part of the FD amplifiers.



• In a number of applications the inputs and output are short circuited, i.e.,



 From previous slide, for the load resistor R_D, the input and output common-mode levels is well defined

$$V_{o,cm} = V_{DD} - I_{SS}R_D / 2$$

 For the case of a current source load implemented by PMOS transistors M2 and M2' the common-mode level is not well defined.



- CM Levels depend on how close I_{DM2} and $I_{\text{DM2}'}$ are to $I_{\text{SS}}/2.$
- In practice ${\rm I}_{\rm SS}$ is implemented by a NMOS current source, and similarly for M2 and M2' by means of a PMOS current source.
- These two current sources are not ideal creating a finite error between $I_{D, M2, M2'}$ and $I_{SS}/2$.

Reference.-J.F. Duque-Carrillo, " Control of the Common-Mode Component in CMOS Continuous-Time Fully Differential Signal Processing, Analog Integrated and Signal Processing, Vol. 4, No.2, pp131-140, Sept. 1993

Effects of drain current mismatches on the DC output voltage: An example of a FD "resistor equivalent":



Suppose that the drain currents of M2 and M2' (in the saturation region) are slightly smaller than $I_{SS}/2$, to satisfy KVL at nodes P⁻ and P⁺, then $V_{p_{-}}$ and $V_{p_{+}}$ must drop forcing M3 to enter in triode region, producing only $2I_{DM2}$, $_{DM2'}$.

Also if drain currents of M2 and M2' are slightly greater than $I_{SS}/2$ then both M2 and M2' must enter into the triode region, so that their drain currents remain $I_{SS}/2$.

Closed loop negative feedback effects on the DC output voltage

- The high impedance nodes are difficult to fix their DC operating points. This is the case of Single-Ended Differential Amplifiers (Op Amps and OTAs).
- Op Amps in open loop yield

$$V_{o} = \begin{cases} V_{DD} \\ or \\ -V_{SS} \end{cases}$$

Fortunately, Linear Applications of single ended circuits are based on negative feedback, and this feedback circuitry also fixes the DC operating point, i.e.,



 $V_{o} = A (0 - V^{-})$ but $V^{-} = \frac{V_{o}R_{1}}{R_{1} + R_{2}}$ and $V_{o,dc} = 0$ for symmetric power supplies.

I/O characteristics of a FD Amplifier.

$$V_{o,dm} = V_o^+ - V_o^- = A (V^+ - V^-)$$

$$V_{o,dm} = A V_{o,dm} \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2}$$
Therefore
$$V_{o,dm} = 0 \text{ if } V^+ = V^- \text{ (well defined)}$$
But
$$V_{o,cm} = 1/2 (V_o^+ + V_o^-) = ? \text{ (undefined)}$$



I/O characteristics of a FD Amplifier.

Analog and Mixed-Signal Center (AMSC)

 $V_{\text{o,cm}}$ is usually fixed by an additional negative (common-mode) feedback circuit such that the differential voltage gain is maximized.



Conceptual Architecture of Common-Mode Feedback

Stability requires to have negative feedback:

PHASE ($H_1H_2H_3$) < 135° FOR ω < ω u

- Basic Operations
 - Sensing the output CM level, i.e.,

$$\frac{V_o^+ + V_o^-}{2} = V_{o,cm}$$

Comparison with a voltage reference i.e.,

$$V_{o,cm} - V_{ref}$$

- Injecting the error correcting level to the amplifier bias circuitry.
- Avoid injection of CM signals to nodes of the amplifier which do not correct the V_{o,cm}.

If the output signals are current signals, the CMF architecture could be represented as follows:



A conceptual current mode implementation of Level Sense Circuit, CM Sense Current Amplifier (Comparator)

A Simple CM Feedback Without Reference



- Simple approach
- Core Op Amp can be a two-stage, folded cascode or other
- Needs higher power supply
- Sacrifices output voltage swing.

EXAMPLE OF LOCAL CM FEEDBACK WITHOUT REFERENCE TWO-STAGE AMPLIFIER



CM signal detectors : two conventional cases

 $V_{S} = \alpha_{1}v_{o,cm} + \alpha_{2}v_{o,dm} + \alpha_{3}v^{2}{}_{o,dm} \qquad \qquad \alpha_{1} = 1$ CM Detector 2 $\alpha_{2} = \frac{\Delta R}{4R} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\beta I_{B}}} \cdot \frac{\frac{\Delta R}{2R} + \frac{\Delta I_{B}}{4I_{B}} + \frac{\Delta\beta}{4\beta}}{2R + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta I_{B}}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\beta I_{B}}} \cdot \frac{\Delta V_{T} + \frac{\Delta I_{B}}{2I_{B}}\sqrt{\frac{2I_{B}}{\beta}}}{I_{B}\left(2R + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta I_{B}}}\right)^{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\beta I_{B}}} \cdot \frac{\Delta V_{T} + \frac{\Delta I_{B}}{2I_{B}}\sqrt{\frac{2I_{B}}{\beta}}}{I_{B}\left(2R + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta I_{B}}}\right)^{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\beta I_{B}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{8\beta I_{B}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(2R + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\beta I_{B}}}\right)^{2}}$

Performance

Observations

• High DC offset due to source followers

• Other buffers can be used to reduce the DC offset

 \bullet Mismatching between the passive resistors is the dominant error in α_2

CM Detector 3



$$\alpha_1 = I$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{\Delta\beta}{4\beta} + \frac{\Delta V_T}{4} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{I_B}}$$

1

 $\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{I_B}}$

High DC offset
Highly non-linear CM signal detector

J.F. Duque-Carrillo, " Control of the Common-Mode Component in CMOS Continuous-Time Fully Differential Signal Processing, Analog Integrated and Signal Processing,Vol. 4, No.2, pp131-140, Sept. 1993

Amplifier performance with CM control by current steering.





A folded amplifier as an example of a FD amplifier



Low-distortion CM steering loop.

Example of a compensated Op Amp and a CM sense circuit



What is a common-mode feed-forward correction circuit ?

A common mode **feed-forward** circuit is a circuit sensing the input voltage. Then this input common-mode current is added at each of the two output terminals (or applied to an internal node of the amplifier) with the purpose to cancel the output common-mode current component. Next we consider two examples, one with a BiCMOS OTA implementation and another one with a fully balanced fully symmetric CMOS OTA.

We will discuss the advantages and limitations of this feed-forward versus the common-mode feedback.



⁽b)

Pseudodifferential BiCMOS transconductor with feed-forward common-mode cancellation. (a) Conceptual idea. (b) BiCMOS implementation.

[*] F. Rezzi, A. Baschiroto, and R. Castello, "A 3V 12-55 MHz BiCMOS Pseudo Differential Continuous-Time Filter", *IEEE Trans. Circuits Systems I*, vol. 42, pp 896-903, November 1995.

Let us explore how a common-mode feedforward can be sensed and then applied. Consider a fully differential OTA with two current-mirrors



FD OTA

• Correcting signal can be voltage or current. Note that I_0^+ , and I_0^- are equal to $gm_{DRIVER} (V_{in}^+ - V_{in}^-)$ and $gm_{DRIVER} (V_{in}^- - V_{in}^+)^+$ respectively. That is, we are sensing the input voltage. We are not sensing the output voltage. • aI_0^+ and aI_0^- are copies of I_0^+ and I_0^- , respectively. In practice the value of aI_0 is a = 1 or a = 1/2.

FD OTA with common-mode feedforward (current-mode)



• Since $V_{reference} = 0$, $V_{correction} = V_{cm}$ and can be applied to MY' and MY.

Analog and Mixed-Signal Center (AMSC)

FD OTA CMFF (current-mode)



• OTA FD CMFF Implementation (Self-Bias)

Common feedback of more than one amplifier and their interconnections

- Observe that only one CMFB circuit is needed per output. If the Amp 1 is connected with a CMFB, any other amplifier connected to this amplifier does not need the extra CMFB.
- Furthermore, in some architectures the CM detector is a feedforward and forms part of the amplifier. An example of this type has been discussed before i.e., the Fully Balanced 4 current-mirror OTA





NEXT OTA IS DETECTING THE COMMON MODE FROM THIS OTA AND FEEDING BACK TO THIS OTA

Common-Mode Rejection Ratio (CMRR):

a) CMFF b) CMFB c) CMFB & CMFF

Common Mode Rejection Ratio



Transient Response



Transient Response

Total Harmonic Distortion (Single-ended)

Total Harmonic Distortion



Total Harmonic Distortion (Double-ended)

Total Harmonic Distortion



COMMON-MODE FEEDBACK AMPLIFIERS: Characterization and Simulation



Ideal Response

$$v_s = \alpha_1 v_{o,cm}$$
 ; $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}$

Taking into account mismatches on the Amplifiers H_1 and H'_1 yields:

$$v_s = \alpha_1 v_{o,cm} + \alpha_2 v_{o,dm} + \alpha_3 v_{o,dm}^2$$

Fully Differential Amplifier With Common-Mode Feedback Let assume the linearized ideal case $\alpha_1 \neq 0, \alpha_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. Note here that the notation is changed to $\alpha_1 = A_{CS}, \alpha_2 = A_{DS}$



Using MASON's Rule

 $LG_{CM} = A_{SC}A_{CS}$ $LG_{DM} = A_{SD}A_{DS}$ $\Delta LG_{CM} = A_{CS}A_{SD}$ $\Delta LG_{DM} = A_{DS}A_{S}$

 $D = 1 - LG_{CM} - LG_{DM}$

$$\begin{split} A_{DD, \text{ effective}} &= \frac{A_{DD} (1 - LG_{CM}) + A_{DC} \Delta LG_{CM}}{D} \cong A_{DD} \\ A_{CC, \text{effective}} &= \frac{A_{CC} (1 - LG_{DM}) + A_{CD} \Delta LG_{DM}}{D} \\ A_{DC, \text{ effective}} &\cong -A_{DD} \frac{A_{DS}}{A_{CS}} \\ A_{CD, \text{ effective}} &\cong A_{CD} \end{split}$$

To investigate the non-linear effects, assume $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 0$. Thus The following expressions can be approximated.

$$v_{o,dm} = A_{DD}g_{m}v_{i,dm} - A_{DD}f v_{o,dm} + A_{SD}v_{s}$$

$$v_{o,cm} = A_{DC}g_{m}v_{i,dm} - A_{DC}f v_{o,dm} + A_{SC}v_{s}$$
where
$$v_{s} \cong A_{CS}v_{o,cm} + \alpha_{3}v_{o,dm} ; \alpha_{1} = A_{CS}$$

It can be shown that:



Analog and Mixed-Signal Center TAMU (ESS)

COMMON-MODE FEEDBACK LOOP



• H'_1 is defined as the gain between input v_{CMC} and the output $(V_o^+ - V_o^-)$ i.e. two examples



$S_{\text{TABILITY}}R_{\text{EMARKS}}$

- The poles of the common-mode feedback are given by the open loop gain $H_1'(s)H_2(s)H_3(s)$
- The bandwidth of the common-mode gain and the differential-mode gain.
- For differential inputs in an ideal amplifier



 v_{icm} H_1 CM H_2 Detector H_i $V_{o_{cm}}$ H_3 V_{ref} Differential-Mode. How to simulate this D-M?

Common-Mode

$$H_{CM} = \frac{H_{1_{CM}}}{1 + H_1 H_2 H_3}$$
 i.e. $H_2 = \frac{1}{2}$

How to check stability of this loop?



Analog and Mixed-Signal Center TAMU (ESS)

LV CMFB FOR OTAS

Typical OTA connection in fully differential OTA-C based circuits.

The common-mode voltage is obtained from the input of the following stage. Poor PSRR





Pseudo-differential OTAs including the CMFB for the first one with good PSRR



Fig. 1. Block diagram of CMFB with DDA.

Common-Mode Feedback Circuit with Differential-Difference Amplifier

Zdzisław Czarnul, Shigetaka Takagi, and Nobuo Fujii



Multipath common-mode feedback scheme suitable for high-frequency two-stage amplifiers

A.K. Gupta, V. Dhanasekaran, K. Soundarapandian and E. Sanchez-Sinencio

> A method for stabilising the common-mode feedback (CMFB) loop in high-speed fully differential two-stage amplifiers is presented. Existing approaches may prove to be inadequate for high-speed designs. The problem becomes acute because of positive DC feedback by external network, which leads to 'latching states'. The proposed multipath approach avoids the latching states while maintaining the stability of the CMFB loop.



Fig. 2 Traditional CMFB and multipath CMFB schemes

- a Traditional
- b Multipath

A CMOS 140-mW Fourth-Order Continuous-Time Low-Pass Filter Stabilized With a Class AB Common-Mode Feedback Operating at 550 MHz

Pankaj Pandey, Jose Silva-Martinez, and Xuemei Liu



Fig. 3. CMFB scheme based on Class AB amplifier.

An 11-Band 3–10 GHz Receiver in SiGe BiCMOS for Multiband OFDM UWB Communication

Alberto Valdes-Garcia, Member, IEEE, Chinmaya Mishra, Student Member, IEEE, Feramarz Bahmani, Member, IEEE, Jose Silva-Martinez, Senior Member, IEEE, and Edgar Sánchez-Sinencio, Fellow, IEEE



Gm cell core and CMFB circuitry.

Low-Power Architecture and Circuit Techniques for High-Boost Wide-Band *G_m*–*C* Filters

Manisha Gambhir, Student Member, IEEE, Vijay Dhanasekaran, Student Member, IEEE, Jose Silva-Martinez, Senior Member, IEEE, and Edgar Sánchez-Sinencio, Fellow, IEEE



Fig. 10. (a) Circuit diagram for the proposed CMFB EA (b) Its equivalent representation.



Fig. 9. CMFB loop involving two core OTAs and an CMFB amplifier.

Final Remarks

 DC operating points for high impedances are difficult to fix

• Fully differential amplifiers with high output impedance nodes must use common-mode feedback circuits .

• Common mode circuits can fix the DC operating points as well as eliminate the common mode output component.

• Low voltage constraints impose optimal bias conditions at both the input and output ports of an amplifier.

 Common mode circuits for LV should be used both at the input and output